

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0694829 DATE: <u>04/19/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:00</u> DEP	PART: 3:00		
FACILITY NAME: Quality Culvert			
FACILITY LOCATION: 25750 CR 561			
ASTATULA 34705-9202			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. Ron Mitchel,l, Mgr. Email: ron.mitchell@quality culvert.com CONTACT NAME: Mr. Steve Schlafmann, Environmental Specialist Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/13/2009 / 7/13/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (715)4 Mobile: (715)5 PHONE: Mobile:	43-6261 51-2631		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Ron Mitchell, Mr. Steve Schlafmann Brief Notes: Facility has changed Name	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RICK WHYBREW?	Yes ⊠No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still RICK WHYBREW? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-split silo#1 compart. A, w/silotop baghouse#1A,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 02/17/2005 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspect If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, S Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, S	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable pemissions by:	precautions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which sh 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas particulate matter?	d yards?
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at t	the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacit c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No ty? Yes No

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-split silo#1 compart. B, w/silotop baghouse#1B,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: 02/17/2005 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	following: - Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-silo#2, w/silotop baghouse #2 80T capacity subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/17/2005 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEN FIELD ODGEDVATIONG D. L. (2.40/.414/2). E. A. G.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each c	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	oon for cach c	(uestion)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_
paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		∐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section -CCB Plant-silo# 3, w/silotop baghouse #3, 100T capacity subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 – CCB Plant-silo# 3, W/silotop bagnouse #3, 1001 capacity subject to Reasonable	<u>Precautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: 2/17/2005 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon- emissions by:	fined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter?	f 	□ No□ No□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		⊠ No □ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-split silo#4 compart. A, w/silotop baghouse#4A,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: - Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	□ No□ No□ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –CCB Plant-split silo#4 compart. B, w/silotop baghouse#4B,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: 2/17/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Prop Points, Boads, Powling Areas, Stock Biles, and Yords	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: - Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- ⊠ Yes	 No No No No No

Emissions Unit Section 8 –CCB Plant-split silo#5 compart. A, w/silotop baghouse#5A,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: 1	FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
2. Did the If not: a	last inspection: 2/17/2011 emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Unconfine	FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. d Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Does th emissio	e owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfins by:	ned	
1) 2) co 3) ov pa 4)	agement of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		 No No No No No
b. Use	of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
a. Did to	hable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: he inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ted: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? t caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 9 -CCB Plant-split silo#5 compart. B, w/silotop baghouse#5B,50T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PAF	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
2. I	Date of last inspection: 2/17/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? f not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. onfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	veying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinissions by:	ned	
a	. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b	. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
a b	f reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	🔯 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	X Yes - X Yes - X Yes	 No No No No No No No
<u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline/yr</u> + <u>MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>MM gal prop.</u> 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00$)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - X Yes	☐ No
CENTER A CONTRIVONS		
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	X Yes	☐ No
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	oth stationers and relegatable	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of be concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants		ng question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	······································	Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one businessb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No.	day prior to changing location?tification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five by c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	ification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	NoNo
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separ and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lor co-located at the permitted facility?	unit in that separate permit: purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage ng it was	e)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
A desinistrative Changes		box for each	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility? ays of the change? ent? ubstantially different?	ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions ur dministrative change at the facility? ays of the change?ent?	ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility? ays of the change? ent? ubstantially different? ration form and the appropriate fee sub	ative not nits or Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility? ays of the change? ent? ubstantially different? ration form and the appropriate fee sub	ative not nits or Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions ur dministrative change at the facility? ays of the change?ent?	ative not nits or Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility? ays of the change? ent? ubstantially different? tration form and the appropriate fee sub	ative not nits or Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility? ays of the change? ent? ubstantially different? tration form and the appropriate fee sub 04/15/2011 Date of Inspection	ative not nits or Yes Yes	□ No